

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—I want notice.

Mr. SPEAKER.—All questions are over.

QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY

(Not taken up)

Expenses of Tours and Camps of Officers.

Q—376. Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Srirangapatna).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it has come to their notice that very often the people are made to bear the cost of the tours and the camps of the officers ;

(b) whether they would take effective steps to see that the people are not subjected to these expenses ?

A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—

(a) No.

(b) Specific cases, if indicated will be investigated.

Non-gazetted employees of several departments) increments and allocation).

Q—417. Sri M. C. ANJANEYA REDDY (Chintamani).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether the increments in respect of non-gazetted employees of Revenue Department who are directly recruited through Public Service

Commission have been given after the completion of probationary period up to the period of Efficiency Bar, if so, how many such officials are deprived of increments ;

(b) whether there are instances in other departments, such as, Education, P. W. D., Medical, Agriculture and Registration attached to the Deputy commissioner's Unit who are drawing increments though they have not passed the required tests ;

(c) whether there are instances of officials who have put in more than 5 years of service as on 1st January 1947 whose allocation has not at all been fixed so far as per Government Order of 1947 ?

A.—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(a) Prior to 1st April 1952, officials recruited to the Revenue Department were not entitled to increments in their grade pay irrespective of their length of service, unless their tenure of appointment was changed from acting to *sub pro tem* under the provisions of Mysore Services Regulations. The officials were required to pass the Departmental examinations before their tenure of appointment could be changed to *sub pro tem* or confirmed in the appointment.

After the revision of scales, Government in their Order No. R. 6755 58—L.R. 459-48-2, dated 11th January 1950, have prescribed that following departmental tests to be passed by the officials of the Revenue Department for earning increments and for confirmation and for crossing the Efficiency Bar :—

	Tests to be passed for earning increments and for confirmation.	Tests to be passed before crossing the Efficiency Bar.
(1) II Division Clerks ...	Revenue Lower	Accounts Lower.
(2) I Division Clerks ...	Revenue Higher	Accounts Higher and Criminal Higher.
(3) Revenue Inspectors, ... I Grade.	Revenue Higher	Do
(4) Revenue Inspectors, ... II Grade.	Revenue Lower and Accounts Lower.	...

Further, Government in their Order No. E. 2361-404—R. & P. S. 25-48-3, dated 15th June 1951, have ordered that the candidates after entry into service should be put on probation subject to their passing the departmental tests within a period of 2 years; if such candidates fail to pass the departmental tests within a period of 3 years their services should be terminated. Government in the same order have given 3 years' time to those who were already in service to pass the prescribed tests during which they (officials who were *sub pro tem* or permanent) have been allowed the increments. They have further directed in the same order that the stipulation of special tests to cross Efficiency Bars in the several grades may be done away with. The implication of this apparently is that all the prescribed tests for any particular grade should be passed during the period of probation or 3 years as the case may be. Up to 1st April 1952, acting service of officials who had no substantive appointments under Government did not count for increments and officials who were not made *sub pro tem* in their appointments were not therefore entitled to increments. From 1st April 1952, even the acting service of officials who held no substantive appointment under Government counts for increments and all acting officials have been allowed increments on 1st April 1953, if they had put in one year service. Increments are admissible only up to 15th June 1954, after which only those officials who have passed all the prescribed tests (Efficiency Bar has since been taken away in the case of Clerks—*vide* Government Order dated 15th June 1951) would earn increments.

The number of acting officials (without substantive appointment) who are deprived of increments prior to 1st April 1952, is not readily available as particulars have to be obtained from the various Unit Offices. Exemptions are also being granted to officials who are otherwise fit and recommended from passing the departmental tests for earning increments when they are over 45 years of age as per conditions laid down in G. O. No. E. 8523-623—R. &

P. S. 3-52-19, dated 22nd September 1952.

(b) No instances.

(c) Yes. There are 23 instances in the districts noted below and they are under correspondence.

District.	Number of Instances.
Shimoga ...	9
Mysore ...	8
Kolar ...	6

There were also some cases in Bangalore, Chikmagalur and Mandya Districts. Suitable action is being taken for expediting their disposal.

Private Buses running in Tumkur-Bangalore Road.

Q.—458. Sri B. C. NANJUNDAIYA (Kora).—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(a) the rules, if there were any, prescribed while taking monopoly of certain routes for running their Road Transport buses;

(b) the maximum number of miles that were allowed to be used by private bus owners in these routes;

(c) the names of owners who are given permission to run their buses for more than ten miles and for more than fifteen miles in Tumkur-Bangalore Road;

(d) the special reason for giving such permission?

A.—Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—

(a) No rules are prescribed. The monopoly scheme was implemented under Government Order No. T. 1926-36—R. T. 28-52-2, dated the 5th September 1951, and as per Government Order No. T. 2384-95—R. T. 28-52-6, dated the 21st October 1952 and it was given effect to from 1st January 1953.

(b) Generally not exceeding five miles.

(c) Messrs. Gajanana Merchants' Association, N. lamangala.

(d) Messrs. Gajanana Merchants' Association has been granted the exemption considering the fact that the